

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

JEROME RATLIFF JR., individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VENTURE EXPRESS, INC., a Tennessee  
corporation,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

No. 17-cv-07214

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Jerome Ratliff Jr. brings this Class Action Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against defendant Venture Express, Incorporated, (“Venture Express” or “Defendant”) for its intentional and willful violations of Plaintiff’s and a proposed Class of consumers’ statutorily protected credit and employment-related rights. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Venture Express is a self-proclaimed leader in the trucking and transportation industry.
2. Seeking to expand its fleet, Venture Express encourages consumers to apply for driving positions by visiting its website and completing an online application. As part of its hiring process, Venture Express pulls applicants’ background reports from HireRight, LLC—a leading pre-employment screening provider—to identify, among other things, their names, social security numbers, dates of birth, previous employers, work records, and trucking accident or incident histories. Using the information contained in these reports, Venture Express then

decides whether to continue the hiring process or not.

3. Given the impact that these reports have on an individual's access to employment, Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, *et seq.* ("FCRA"), specifically to protect consumers from the ill effects of erroneous credit reporting and provide them with a means of ensuring that the information being distributed about them is accurate, appropriate, and updated.

4. To that end, when companies like Venture Express decide not to hire an individual based in whole, or in part, on the contents of these reports, they are required under the FCRA to provide the individual within three business days of taking such action notice:

- That adverse action has been taken based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from a consumer reporting agency (like HireRight);
- Of the name, address and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the consumer report;
- That the consumer reporting agency did not make the decision to take the adverse action and is unable to provide to the applicant the specific reasons why the adverse action was taken; and
- That the applicant may, upon providing proper identification, request a free copy of a report and may dispute with the consumer reporting agency the accuracy or completeness of any information in a report.

5. Unfortunately, Venture Express disregards these statutorily imposed obligations and intentionally withholds the above-described information from applicants it decides not to hire based in whole or in part on their credit reports.

6. Accordingly, this Complaint seeks an Order: (i) declaring that Venture Express's conduct violates the FCRA; (ii) requiring Venture Express to cease the unlawful activities discussed herein; and (iii) awarding actual and/or statutory damages to Plaintiff and the proposed Class.

## **PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff Jerome Ratliff Jr. is a natural person and citizen of the State of Illinois.

8. Defendant Venture Express, Incorporated is a Tennessee corporation with its headquarters located at 131 Industrial Boulevard, La Vergne, Tennessee 37086. Venture Express conducts business throughout this County, the State of Illinois, and the United States. On information and belief, Venture Express employs more than 900 drivers, operating more than 750 trucks and 3,000 trailers.<sup>1</sup>

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business transactions in this District, including soliciting business and employees, and entering into business and employment agreements. Additionally, Defendant has committed tortious acts in this District.

11. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

## **COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **I. The Fair Credit Reporting Act.**

12. Congress enacted the FCRA in 1970 in recognition of the serious impact credit reports (referred to as "consumer reports" under the FCRA) could have on consumers' lives, including by affecting their access to employment. *See* Pub. L. No. 91-508, 84 Stat. 1128 (1970).

13. To that end, if a consumer applies to certain types of employment opportunities

---

<sup>1</sup> *See* FMCSA Safety Measurement System, *Venture Express Inc.*, available at <https://ai.fmcsa.dot.gov/SMS/Carrier/192068/CarrierRegistration.aspx> (accessed on October 3, 2017).

by mail, telephone, computer, or other similar means, before a consumer report is procured or caused to be procured in connection with that application:

- i. the person who procures the consumer report on the consumer for employment purposes shall provide to the consumer, by oral, written, or electronic means, notice that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes, and a summary of the consumer's rights under [15 U.S.C. § 1681m(a)(3)]; and
- ii. the consumer shall have consented, orally, in writing, or electronically to the procurement of the report by that person.

*See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(B).

14. The FCRA also regulates companies that decide to take adverse action (e.g., denying employment) against an individual on the basis of information contained in their consumer reports. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3). For instance, if a consumer applies to a company for a trucking or transportation-related job (like those offered by Venture Express) by mail, telephone, computer, or other similar means, and that company decides to deny employment to the individual based in whole or in part on a consumer report, then the company must provide to the individual within three business days of its decision an oral, written or electronic notification:

- i. that adverse action has been taken based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from a consumer reporting agency;
- ii. of the name, address and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the consumer report;
- iii. that the consumer reporting agency did not make the decision to take the adverse action and is unable to provide to the consumer the specific reasons why the adverse action was taken; and
- iv. that the consumer may, upon providing proper identification, request a free copy of a report and may dispute with the consumer reporting agency the accuracy or completeness of any information in a report.

*See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B).

15. Under the FCRA, a “consumer report” means “any . . . communication of any

information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living" which is used for, among other things, employment purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

16. A "consumer reporting agency" means "any person which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

## **II. Venture Express Violates the Fair Credit Reporting Act as a Matter of Course.**

17. Consumers can apply for driving positions with Venture Express by, among other ways, visiting its website and completing an online application.

18. As part of its hiring process, Venture Express routinely obtains and uses consumer reports to screen applicants, including reports prepared by the leading pre-employment screening agency HireRight. HireRight's reports, including its flagship "DAC Employment History" reports, provide Venture Express with the applicant's name, social security number, date of birth, previous employers, work records, reasons for leaving past employers, rehire eligibility, drug and alcohol history, truck driving school performance records, and trucking accident or incident history.

19. Unfortunately, when Venture Express decides not to hire an applicant based in whole, or in part, on a consumer report it does not provide the applicant with the information mandated by the FCRA. For instance, Venture Express does not provide rejected applicants with the name, address, and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the report (*e.g.*, HireRight) nor does it notify them that the consumer reporting agency did not make

the decision to not hire them as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B).

20. The FCRA's comprehensive series of regulations, including the requirements described above, were specifically enacted to provide individuals with a means of ensuring that the information being distributed about them is accurate and updated, which, in turn, ensures them fair access to employment. An individual who is deprived of this information after being denied employment is therefore harmed because, among other reasons, they are denied access to a job based on a record of information they do not possess, cannot verify, and from a third party they have no direct relationship with. They are also deprived of the opportunity of timely responding to or correcting inaccurate or incomplete information.

#### **FACTS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF RATLIFF**

21. In or around November 2014, Plaintiff Ratliff submitted an online application for a commercial truck driver position with Venture Express.

22. On or around November 12, 2014, Venture Express obtained Ratliff's background report from HireRight, which Ratliff learned in or about October 2015 through his own investigation.

23. On information and belief, after receiving and reviewing Ratliff's background report, Venture Express took adverse action against him by disqualifying him from further consideration for employment based, in part, upon the information contained in HireRight's background report.

24. Venture Express did not offer employment to Ratliff, and did not hire Ratliff.

25. In spite of its obligations under the FCRA, Venture Express did not provide Ratliff with the notice required by the FCRA within three business days of deciding not to hire him, including, for example (i) that Venture Express decided not to hire Ratliff based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from HireRight; (ii) the name, address and telephone

number of HireRight; (iii) that HireRight did not make the decision to take the adverse action and is unable to provide to Ratliff the specific reasons why the adverse action was taken; and (iv) that Ratliff could have disputed with HireRight the accuracy or completeness of any information in the report.

26. Because Venture Express did not comply with the FCRA requirements described above, Ratliff was denied his statutorily mandated right to the information contained in the HireRight report.

27. Venture Express's violation of the FCRA further violated Ratliff's statutorily protected privacy rights, as he was denied the ability to challenge or correct any information that was improperly contained in the HireRight report.

28. Finally, because Ratliff could not verify the accuracy of the report, or determine who prepared it, he could not timely respond to or correct any inaccurate or incomplete background information, thus denying him fair access to employment.

29. Venture Express's violation was willful inasmuch as it knew, or reasonably should have known, that it was failing to comply with the above-described requirements of the FCRA (for instance, Venture Express knew of and complied with the various other employment regulations throughout the employment application process).

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

30. **Class Definition:** Plaintiff Ratliff brings this action pursuant to Rule 23 on behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated individuals, defined as follows:

All individuals in the United States who, from the date five years prior to the date of the filing of this action to the present, submitted an online job application to Defendant and were denied employment based in whole or in part on information contained in a consumer report without being provided within three business days of such adverse action an oral, written or electronic notification: (i) that adverse action has been taken based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from a consumer reporting agency; (ii) of the name, address and telephone

number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the consumer report; (iii) that the consumer reporting agency did not make the decision to take the adverse action and is unable to provide to them the specific reasons why the adverse action was taken; and (iv) that they may, upon providing proper identification, request a free copy of a report and may dispute with the consumer reporting agency the accuracy or completeness of any information in a report.

Excluded from the Class are: (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, Defendant's subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former employees, officers and directors; (3) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) persons whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released; (5) Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant's counsel; and (6) the legal representatives, successors, and assigns of any such excluded persons.

31. **Numerosity:** The exact size of the Class is unknown and not available to Plaintiff at this time, but it is clear that individual joinder is impracticable. On information and belief, there are hundreds of people in the Class, making joinder of each individual member impracticable. Ultimately, members of the Class will be easily identified through Defendant's records.

32. **Commonality and Predominance:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members:

a. whether Defendant took adverse action on each Plaintiff's and Class members' employment applications based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from a consumer reporting agency;

b. whether Defendant provided Plaintiff and Class members with the FCRA's adverse action notifications, as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B);

c. whether Plaintiff and the Class were injured as a result of Defendant's

conduct described herein;

d. whether Defendant's conduct described herein constitutes a violation of the FCRA; and

e. whether Defendant's conduct described herein was willful.

33. **Adequate Representation:** Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions. Plaintiff has no interest antagonistic to those of the Class, and Defendant has no defenses unique to Plaintiff.

34. **Appropriateness:** This class action is appropriate for certification because class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy and joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. The damages suffered by the individual members of the Class are likely to have been small relative to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by Defendant's wrongful conduct. Thus, it would be virtually impossible for the individual members of the Class to obtain effective relief from Defendant's misconduct. Even if members of the Class could sustain such individual litigation, it would not be preferable to a class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the complex legal and factual controversies presented in this Complaint. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Economies of time, effort, and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be ensured.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**  
**15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B)**  
**(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

35. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

36. The FCRA was enacted in 1970 specifically to protect consumers from, among other things, the ill effects of erroneous credit reporting on their privacy and job prospects, and to provide consumers with a means of ensuring that the information being distributed about them is accurate and updated.

37. The FCRA's comprehensive series of regulations, including the requirements described in Section I above, provide individuals with a statutory right to the information contained in their consumer reports, in part, to ensure that the information being distributed about them is accurate, appropriate, and updated, which, in turn, protects consumers' privacy rights and their right to fair access to employment.

38. The FCRA also creates a private right of action by which consumers can vindicate these rights.

39. Defendant obtained Plaintiff's and Class members' "consumer reports," as defined by the FCRA, because the background reports obtained from HireRight were communications containing "information by a consumer reporting agency [(i.e., HireRight)] bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living" which is used for, among other things, employment purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

40. HireRight is a "consumer reporting agency," as defined by the FCRA, because it is a leading pre-employment screening provider that, "for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or

evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).

41. Through a uniform and standardized hiring process, Defendant obtained Plaintiff’s and Class members’ consumer reports and used the information contained in those reports, in whole or in part, when deciding whether to hire them.

42. Throughout that process, Defendant acknowledged certain of its obligations under the FCRA, for instance, by obtaining consent from applicants before requesting their consumer reports. Despite its knowledge of its obligations to consumers under the FCRA, Defendant knowingly and willfully withheld certain information from applicants when it decided not to hire them, based in whole or in part on the contents of their consumer reports.

43. Specifically, when Plaintiff and Class members applied to a trucking or transportation-related job with Defendant by mail, telephone, computer, or other similar means, and Defendant decided to deny them employment based in whole or in part on their consumer reports, it did not provide to them within three business days of taking such action, an oral, written or electronic notification: (i) that adverse action has been taken based in whole or in part on a consumer report received from a consumer reporting agency; (ii) of the name, address and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the consumer report; (iii) that the consumer reporting agency did not make the decision to take the adverse action and did not provide to Plaintiff or Class members the specific reasons why the adverse action was taken; and (iv) that Plaintiff or Class members could, upon providing proper identification, request a free copy of a report and dispute with the consumer reporting agency the accuracy or completeness of any information in a report, as required by the FCRA. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B).

44. Defendant has continually failed to follow reasonable procedures to ensure that Plaintiff and the Class members are provided with their statutorily-mandated right to the information contained in their consumer reports.

45. As a result of Defendant's conduct described herein and its knowing and willful violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(B), Plaintiff and the Class have suffered harm as described herein, including information injury, violation of privacy, and unfairly being denied access to employment.

46. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seeks an order: declaring Defendant's conduct to be illegal class-wide; enjoining Defendant's conduct described herein; awarding him and the Class the maximum statutory damages available under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); and directing that Defendant pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff Jerome Ratliff Jr., on behalf of himself and the Class, respectfully requests that this Court issue an order:

A. Certifying this case as a class action on behalf of the Class defined above, appointing Plaintiff Ratliff as representative of the Class, and appointing his counsel as class counsel;

B. Declaring that Defendant's actions, as described herein, constitute violations of the FCRA;

C. Awarding injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiff and the Class members;

D. Awarding actual damages or statutory damages as provided by the FCRA;

E. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable litigation expenses and attorneys' fees; and

F. Awarding such other and further relief as equity and justice may require.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all claims that can be so tried.

Respectfully Submitted,

**JEROME RATLIFF JR.**, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Dated: October 5, 2017

By: s/ Adam C. York  
One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

Michael Aschenbrener (6292306)

[masch@kamberlaw.com](mailto:masch@kamberlaw.com)

Adam C. York (6294143)

[ayork@kamberlaw.com](mailto:ayork@kamberlaw.com)

KamberLaw LLC

220 N Green St

Chicago, IL 60607

Tel: 212.920.3072

Fax: 212.202.6364